

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Design of a d¹-analogue of cuprates: Sr_2VO_4 and Ba_2VO_4 under pressure

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2007 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 365204 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/19/36/365204)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 29/05/2010 at 04:36

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 (2007) 365204 (7pp)

Design of a d¹-analogue of cuprates: Sr₂VO₄ and Ba₂VO₄ under pressure

R Arita¹, A Yamasaki², K Held², J Matsuno¹ and K Kuroki³

¹ RIKEN, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan

² Max-Planck-Institut für Festkörperforschung, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany

³ University of Electro-Communications, 1-5-1 Chofugaoka, Chofu-shi, Tokyo 182-8585, Japan

E-mail: arita@riken.jp

Received 1 December 2006, in final form 10 January 2007 Published 24 August 2007 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/19/365204

Abstract

By means of a combination of the local density approximation and dynamical mean field theory (LDA + DMFT), we study the possibility of making a d¹ analogue of d⁹ cuprates on the basis of Sr_2VO_4 . We calculate the electronic structure of Sr_2VO_4 under pressure, and show that while the material is a 1/6-filled three-band system at ambient pressure with a small level splitting between the d_{xy} - and $d_{yz/zx}$ -bands, an orbital polarization occurs under sufficiently high uniaxial pressure in the *c*-direction. While all energy scales are relatively small, the electronic structure of Sr_2VO_4 under pressure is similar to that of La₂CuO₄; it is a two-dimensional half-filled single-band system which has, relative to the nearest neighbour hopping, a similar Coulomb repulsion and next-nearest neighbour hopping. We also study the effect of substituting Sr by Ba, i.e., chemical pressure, and show that the pressure needed for the orbital polarization is considerably reduced.

(Some figures in this article are in colour only in the electronic version)

1. Introduction

While twenty years have passed since the discovery of high T_c (transition temperature) superconductivity in cuprates [1], we still do not have any other superconducting transition metal oxides with a T_c as high as that of cuprates. If there were another high T_c material, it would certainly provide useful information to clarify the mechanism of high- T_c superconductivity.

In fact, up to present, there have been several attempts to synthesize transition metal compounds which have an electronic structure similar to that of cuprates. For example, we can consider making a 4d analogue of cuprates. A_2AgF_4 (A = Na, K, Cs) is a possible candidate,

in that it has the same crystal structure as La_2CuO_4 ; La, Cu, and O are replaced by an alkali metal, Ag, and F, respectively.

However, while the distance between apical O and Cu is much larger than that in the *ab*-plane in La₂CuO₄, that between apical A and Ag of A₂AgF₄ is even smaller than the in-plane A–Ag distance. Therefore, as is shown by the recent LDA (local density approximation) calculation for Cs₂AgF₄ [2], the $4d_{3z^2-r^2}$ level is higher in energy than the $4d_{x^2-y^2}$ level, whereas the $3d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital is much higher than the $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ in the case of cuprates. Experimentally, not superconductivity but ferromagnetism is observed in these compounds.

Another possible approach to realize an electronic structure similar to that of cuprates is to look for its $3d^1$ analogue. In fact, Sr_2VO_4 has attracted much interest in this context. It can be regarded as an interesting 'dual' material of La_2CuO_4 , because Sr_2VO_4 has one electron per V ion, while La_2CuO_4 has one hole per Cu ion. However, as was shown in the early LDA calculation [3], the level splitting of t_{2g} in Sr_2VO_4 is much smaller than that of e_g in La_2CuO_4 , so that Sr_2VO_4 is not a half-filled single band system but a 1/6-filled three-band ($d_{xy/yz/zx}$) system. Results of recent beyond-LDA calculations also suggest that the relation between Sr_2VO_4 and La_2CuO_4 is not dual [4, 5]. In particular, Imai *et al* carried out an LDA + PIRG (path integral renormalization group) calculation and found a nontrivial orbital-stripe order [4]. These orbital degrees of freedom are irrelevant in cuprates. Hence, maybe not surprisingly, experiments also show that Sr_2VO_4 and La_2CuO_4 behave differently. For example, finite ferromagnetic moments are observed for Sr_2VO_4 [6].

Therefore, it has not been possible to synthesize any analogue of cuprates so far. In this paper, we will point out a possible path to design such an analogue; if we distort the VO₆ octahedron by applying sufficiently high uniaxial pressure we can control the level splitting of the d levels. As we will show by means of the LDA + DMFT method [7, 8], an electronic structure similar to that of cuprates can then be realized. The reason why we focus on Sr_2VO_4 is that one of the authors and his coworkers [9] have quite recently succeeded in growing a thin Sr_2VO_4 film by means of epitaxial growth techniques. Hence, in principle, we can consider applying pressure to Sr_2VO_4 in some specific direction. We indeed show below that if we apply uniaxial pressure in the *c*-direction, Sr_2VO_4 can become a d¹ analogue of d⁹ cuprates, with very similar hopping and interaction parameters for the effective one-band Hubbard model. We also study the effect of chemical pressure and show that if we substitute Sr by Ba, the pressure needed to make a d¹ analogue of d⁹ cuprates is considerably reduced.

2. GGA optimization of crystal structure

Let us start with the optimization of the atomic configuration. By means of GGA (generalized gradient approximation) calculation with plane-wave basis⁴, we first calculate the total energy of the system as a function of the lattice constant *a*, fixing the ratio c/a to its experimental value (=3.28) [6]. We find that the energy minimum is at a = 3.89 Å, in excellent agreement with experiment (a = 3.84 Å). When the lattice constant *a* is fixed to this optimized value, the Sr–V and O–V distances along the *c*-direction (see figure 1(a), which shows the VO₆ octahedron), which are the only two free parameters to be optimized, become 4.46 and 2.01 Å, respectively, consistent with the experimental values 4.46 and 1.98 Å.

Next, we change the lattice constants to simulate the effect of pressure. By applying high uniaxial pressure or, more realistically, synthesizing Sr_2VO_4 films on substrates with appropriate lattice constants, here we change the lattice constant *c* up to $\pm 10\%$ of the

⁴ We employ the Tokyo *ab initio* Program Package (TAPP), see [10].



Figure 1. Atomic configuration of Sr₂VO₄ together with the NMTO Wannier function of the V d_{xy} -orbital. The position of Sr and the apical oxygen are optimized by a plane-wave GGA calculation. (b) GGA-optimized distance between O and V along the *c* direction (open squares) and in the *ab*-plane (open circles) as a function of the *c* axis elongation c/c_0 .

experimental value $c_0 = 12.6$ Å, fixing the volume of the unit cell. We assume that the system has the same I4/mmm symmetry as at atmospheric pressure⁵.

In figure 1(b), we show the GGA-optimized distance between O and V along the *c*-direction (d_c) and within the *ab*-plane (d_{ab}) as a function of c/c_0 . We can see that while d_c is longer than d_{ab} at ambient pressure, d_c becomes shorter than d_{ab} for $c/c_0 < 0.95$.

3. NMTO downfolding

If d_c becomes sufficiently shorter than d_{ab} , we can expect that the d_{xy} level becomes lower in energy than the $d_{yz/zx}$ level and orbital polarization might occur, i.e., a d^1 analogue of cuprates can be realized. To examine this idea in the presence of electronic correlations, we perform LDA + DMFT calculations for the atomic configurations obtained above.

For DMFT calculations, we need an effective low-energy Hamiltonian. To obtain this, we perform LDA calculations with the LMTO (linearized muffin tin orbital) basis [11] and then carry out the NMTO (*N*th order muffin tin orbital) downfolding [12]. In figure 2, we plot the NMTO DOS (density of states) for $c = 1.1c_0$ and $0.9c_0$, along with the band structure for ambient pressure ($c/c_0 = 1$). Note, that the GGA calculation with plane-wave basis gives almost the same band structure (not shown).

For the case of $c/c_0 = 0.9(1.1)$, figure 2 shows that the centre of gravity of the $d_{yz/zx}$ -bands is clearly higher(lower) than that of the d_{xy} -band. Indeed, the crystal field splitting between the d_{xy} - and $d_{xz/yz}$ -orbitals in the NMTO Hamiltonian is -382(+434) meV for $c/c_0 = 0.9(1.1)$. As for the electron occupation of the d_{xy} - and $d_{xz/yz}$ -orbitals, while all three t_{2g} bands are similarly occupied for ambient pressure, 90% (20%) of the d electrons are accommodated in the d_{xy} -band for $c/c_0 = 0.9(1.1)$ (see figure 3).

4. LDA + DMFT calculation

Next, we take into account the correlation effect. The Hamiltonian which we consider is

$$H = -\sum_{m,m'=\mathsf{d}_{xy},\mathsf{d}_{yz},\mathsf{d}_{zx}}\sum_{i,j,\sigma} t_{mm'ij}\hat{c}^{\dagger}_{im\sigma}\hat{c}_{jm'\sigma} + U\sum_{im\sigma}\hat{n}_{im\uparrow}\hat{n}_{im\downarrow} + \sum_{i;\sigma<\sigma'} (U' - \delta_{\sigma\sigma'}J)\hat{n}_{i1\sigma}\hat{n}_{i2\sigma'},$$

 5 Because of the large radius of the Sr ion, a significant tilting of the oxygen octahedra is not to be expected.



Figure 2. Density of states of the t_{2g} band for (a) atmospheric-pressure, (b) uniaxial pressure in the *c* direction ($c/c_0 = 0.9$), and (c) uniaxial pressure in the *ab* plane ($c/c_0 = 1.1$). The solid (dashed) line is for the d_{xy} -orbital ($d_{yz/zx}$ -orbitals). Inset: LMTO band structure for atmospheric-pressure.



Figure 3. (a) NMTO crystal field splitting between the d_{xy} - and $d_{xz/yz}$ -orbitals; (b) electron occupations for the d_{xy} - (squares) and $d_{xz/yz}$ -orbitals (circles).

where $\hat{c}_{im\sigma}^{\dagger}$ and $\hat{c}_{im\sigma}$ are creation and annihilation operators for electrons on site *i* within orbital *m* and with spin σ , and $t_{mm'ij}$ is the Fourier transform of the NMTO bandstructure for the t_{2g} orbitals. We employ the relation U = U' + 2J where U, U', J are the intra-orbital Coulomb interaction, the inter-orbital Coulomb interaction and the Hund coupling, respectively. We solve this Hamiltonian within the framework of DMFT, by means of the standard Hirsch–Fye quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) method [13], where the temperature is 0.1 eV.

We first calculate the spectral function for ambient pressure with various interaction parameters U', fixing J = 0.7 eV. We find that while the spectral function is metallic for U' = 2.5 eV (not shown), metallic and insulating solutions coexist for U' = 2.8 (see figure 4), namely the Mott–Hubbard transition occurs around U' = 2.5-2.8. Since the Mott gap in the insulating solution is in accord with the main optical peak in experiment [9], we expect $U' \sim 2.8$ eV for Sr₂VO₄.

Let us now consider uniaxial pressure in the direction of the *c* axis. In figure 5, we plot the spectral function for $c/c_0 = 0.9$. Note, that the spectrum is now insulating, even for the smaller



Figure 4. LDA + DMFT spectral function for U' = 2.8, where dashed, dotted, and solid lines are for d_{xy} , $d_{xz/yz}$, and the total Sr₂VO₄ spectrum, respectively. Two solutions (metallic (a) and insulating (b)) coexist.



Figure 5. Same as figure 4 but for uniaxial pressure in the direction of the *c* axis ($c/c_0 = 0.9$) with U' = 2.5.

value of U' = 2.5 for which we have a metallic solution at ambient pressure. This is interesting since usually pressure makes an insulator metallic. Here, we have the opposite: pressure makes the system insulating.

This behaviour can be understood as follows. From figure 2, we see that the LDA bandwidth does not change strongly from 2.26 eV at $c/c_0 = 1$ to 2.46 eV at $c/c_0 = 0.9$. This small change of bandwidth alone would indeed indicate more metallic behaviour as one would usually expect. But more important is that uniaxial pressure changes the crystal-field splitting; the two $d_{yz/zx}$ -orbitals become almost unoccupied, and then the large intra-orbital repulsion U makes the remaining (single) d_{xy} -orbital Mott-insulating. That is, a d¹ analogue of d⁹ cuprates is realized.

Here it is interesting to look at the energy scale of this effective one orbital (d_{xy}) system. The NMTO effective Hamiltonian has a nearest neighbour hopping t = 0.26 eV and nextnearest neighbour hopping t' = 0.06 eV, which is comparable with those in the effective single-band Hubbard model for cuprates: t = 0.4 eV, t' = -0.07 eV and U = 5 eV [16].

On the other hand, if we apply pressure in the *ab* plane, we find that the system is metallic for U' = 2.8 and the d_{xy} band becomes almost empty (not shown). Therefore, the system is a quarter-filled two-band system, for which a strong tendency towards ferromagnetism is expected [14, 15].



Figure 6. Density of states of the t_{2g} band of Ba_2VO_4 for (a) atmospheric-pressure and (b) uniaxial pressure in the *c* direction ($c/c_0 = 0.95$). (c) and (d) are LDA + DMFT spectral functions for (a) and (b) respectively, with U' = 2.5.

5. Introduction of chemical pressure: Ba₂VO₄

While we have discussed the change of the electronic structure of Sr_2VO_4 by controlling the lattice constant, it might be difficult to achieve a change of $\pm 10\%$. For example, when Sr_2VO_4 is grown on a substrate this would result in an excessive lattice mismatch, which might result in a lattice relaxation. On the other hand, extrinsic uniaxial pressure applied on cuprates has not been more than 1 GPa so far [17].

Thus, lastly, we consider the possibility of chemical pressure by substituting Sr by Ba. By introducing Ba, we can expect that the crystal expands, because of the larger ion radius of Ba. Here, it is interesting to note that the V–O distance in the ab plane and that along the c axis will increase differently. Namely, while the increase of a directly affects the V–O distance in the ab plane (the latter is exactly half of the former), c and the V–O distance along the c axis are independent parameters.

To examine this idea, we perform GGA structure optimization for Ba₂VO₄ by changing the *a* and *c* lattice constants, as in section 2. The energy minimum is at a = 4.04 Å and c/a = 3.36, where the V–O distances are 2.02 Å (in the *ab* plane; compared to 1.92 Å for Sr₂VO₄) and 2.01 Å (along the *c* direction, 2.01 Å for Sr₂VO₄), respectively. In fact, the situation for Ba₂VO₄ is similar to that of Sr₂VO₄ with $c/c_0 = 0.95$, i.e., for which a uniaxial pressure is already applied.

Let us now consider the compression of the *c* axis. As in section 3, after we obtain the optimized atomic configuration, we perform the NMTO downfolding for $c/c_0 = 0.95$. We find that the orbital polarization becomes larger, i.e., the electron density is 0.73 for the d_{xy} band and 0.14 for the $d_{yz/zx}$ band.

Lastly, using the obtained NMTO Hamiltonian, we do a DMFT calculation. In figure 6, we plot the resulting LDA + DMFT spectral function for ambient pressure and uniaxial pressure in the *c* direction ($c/c_0 = 0.95$) with U' = 2.5, along with the density of states by LDA. We

can see that large orbital polarization is realized even for $c/c_0 = 0.95$. Thus a d¹ analogue of d⁹ cuprates can be realized more easily in Ba₂VO₄ than in Sr₂VO₄.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we have shown that we might be able to control the electronic properties of Sr_2VO_4 by applying high uniaxial pressure. When the *c*-axis is elongated, only the $d_{yz/yx}$ -bands are occupied, Sr_2VO_4 becomes more metallic, and a ferromagnetic instability is to be expected. On the other hand, when the *c*-axis is compressed, the system will be more insulating, which can be regarded as an *inverse* Mott–Hubbard transition. Sr_2VO_4 is then essentially a two-dimensional half-filled single-orbital system, and antiferromagnetic spin fluctuation should dominate. Hence we predict Sr_2VO_4 to be a d¹ analogue of d⁹ cuprates, with very similar hopping and interaction parameters for the effective one-band Hubbard model. If the Hubbard model is the fundamental microscopic model for superconductivity in cuprates, unconventional superconductivity should also be found in Sr_2VO_4 under uniaxial pressure. We also study the effect of chemical pressure and show that if we substitute Sr by Ba, the pressure needed for orbital polarization is considerably reduced.

Acknowledgments

We thank J Akimitsu, O K Andersen, H Aoki, H-U Habermeier, M Imada, Y Imai, K Kawashima, G Khaliullin, I Solovyev, and Y-F Yang for fruitful discussions and the Alexander von Humboldt foundation (RA) and the Emmy Noether program of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (KH) for financial support. Numerical calculations were done at the Supercomputer Center, Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo.

References

- [1] Bednorz J G and Müller K A 1986 Z. Phys. B 64 189
- [2] Kasinathan D, Kyker B and Singh D J 2006 Phys. Rev. B 73 214420
- [3] Picket W E et al 1989 Physica C 162–164 1433
- [4] Imai Y, Solovyev I and Imada M 2005 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** 176405
 Imai Y and Imada M 2006 *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **75** 094713
- [5] Weng H, Kawazoe K, Wan X and Dong J 2006 *Phys. Rev.* B **74** 205112
 [6] Rey M *et al* 1990 *J. Solid State Chem.* **86** 101 Nozaki A *et al* 1991 *Phys. Rev.* B **43** 181 Ginnakopoulou V, Odier P, Bassat J M and Loup J P 1995 *Solid State Commun.* **93** 579
- [7] Anisimov V I et al 1997 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 9 7359
 Lichtenstein A I and Katsnelson M I 1998 Phys. Rev. B 57 6884
- [8] Held K et al 2006 Phys. Status Solidi b 243 2599 (Preprint cond-mat/0511293)
 Held K et al 2003 Psi-k Newslett. 56 65 (Engl. Transl.)
 Kotliar G et al 2006 Rev. Mod. Phys. 78 865
- [9] Matsuno J, Okimoto Y, Kawasaki M and Tokura Y 2003 Appl. Phys. Lett. 82 194 Matsuno J, Okimoto Y, Kawasaki M and Tokura Y 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 176404
- [10] Yamauchi J, Tsukada M, Watanabe S and Sugino O 1996 *Phys. Rev. B* 54 5586
 [11] Andersen O K 1975 *Phys. Rev. B* 12 3060
- Andersen O K and Jepsen O 1984 Phys. Rev. Lett. **53** 2571
- [12] Andersen O K and Saha-Dasgupta T 2000 Phys. Rev. B 62 R16219 and references therein
- [13] Hirsch J E and Fye R M 1986 Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 2521
- [14] Momoi T and Kubo K 1998 *Phys. Rev.* B **58** R567
- [15] Held K and Vollhardt D 1998 Eur. Phys. J. B 5 473
- [16] Hybertsen M S, Stechel E B, Schlueter M and Jennison D R 1990 Phys. Rev. B 41 11068
- [17] Takeshita N et al 2004 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 73 1123